## **REMARKS**

I. Applicant provisionally elects the invention shown in Figure 2 and requests

Reconsideration of the Requirement under 37 CFR 1.143.

On page 2 of the Office Action, an election due to 35 USC 121 is stated to be required. Applicant hereby provisionally elects the species shown in Figure 2 for examination with traverse under 37 CFR 1.143. Also, Applicants respectfully file a traverse under 37 CFR 1.143, Reconsideration of the Requirement, and provide reasons for reconsideration below in accordance with 37 CFR 1.143. Applicant also refers to MPEP 809.02(a).

Independent base Claim 16 is stated to be generic.

The claims which are believed to claim the provisionally elected invention are 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30 and 31.

Applicant respectfully asserts that it would be more efficient to prosecute this application as one application in view of the Patent Business Goals(PBG) for compact prosecution.

## II. Claim 16 is generic

Claim 16 is stated to be generic.

## III. Conclusion

Therefore, for the reasons above applicant respectfully asserts that the restriction requirement has been traversed. Reconsideration and removal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel P. Lent

Reg. No. 44,867 Attorney for Applicant

Reed, Smith LLP 375 Park Avenue, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10152 (212) 521.5400